JURY PRONOUNCEMENT

WINNER OF NORDIC BUILT CHALLENGE ICELAND
JURY STATEMENT OF THE ICELANDIC JURY:

For stage II in the NBC competition in Iceland the jury reviewed the four final proposals individually before a final jury session in Iceland on August 20th. The jury gathered for a full day discussion at Reitir HQ with a final conclusion.

The four finalists selected for stage II all showed great insight and creativity in their proposals. The jury based their selection on how the competition requirements were met and how each proposal complies with the Nordic Build Principles.

The jury reached a unanimous conclusion. The competition entry named AS8GO is the finalist in the Icelandic NBC competition, stage II.

THE FOUR FINALISTS:

1. AS8GO: The proposal presents great insight into sustainable planning solution for the site with a realistic approach to create a new identity for a green office park. The new developments are well defined in scale to what the site can contain in a sustainable manner. Relationship to adjacent area as requested is missing in the proposal. Solutions for the main building exterior present a positive impact for the building but more information is needed for the Fiber-glass fabric. Technical proposal for ventilation in the main building is a standard solution that works, simple and economical.

2. 22063: The project shows good insight in sustainable landscaping and environmental design. The planning proposal is a landscape solution with underground parking. Although the landscape design is positive, the entry to the site through underground parking garage is negative for users, guests and the image for the green office park. An interesting approach is taken towards future development on site. Exterior solutions and ventilation for existing buildings show a positive impact on the building.

3. X9V3Y: The project shows good insight in sustainable landscaping and environmental design. The planning proposal is a landscape solution with underground parking. The entry to the site is through underground ramp which is considered a negative site access. Future developments are positive with an interesting approach to the low rise building courtyards. More emphasis should have been on the existing high rise building over all ventilation system and exterior solutions.
4. NOCO2: A proposal with an overall strong concept. A poetic approach to the challenge with interesting references and ideas. The proposal is missing a vision for future development shown in more detail and with site impact. The solution for the existing high rise is positive although calculations should have shown how the ventilation and exterior solution have positive impact on the building.