



NORDIC
BUILT

ASSESSMENT OF STAGE I

NORDIC BUILT CHALLENGE FINLAND



The Nordic Built Challenge Finland was launched in Stockholm on November 8th 2012. The due date for Stage I entries was February 8th 2013. There were total of 43 entries submitted by the deadline for Stage I of the competition, all of which were accepted for assessment.

The proposals had carefully researched the competition task and offered a wide range of different alternative solutions. In order to somewhat organise the entries, a team of Senate Properties staff members prepared a pre-review with a typological categorisation. Naturally, this pre-review did not imply any rating in terms of the quality of the entries, but rather merely served as a helpful organisational tool in the initial stage of the Jury process.

The Jury had two decision meetings during the Stage I assessment process. At the preliminary Jury decision meeting on March 8th the members of the Jury first independently acquainted themselves with the entries and each assessed them from their own field of expertise. After this, the proposals were reviewed together and assessed against the set assessment criteria presented in the competition brief. Ten (10) entries were selected for closer examination.

Between the preliminary and final Jury decision meeting the Jury members individually continued reviewing and assessing the top ten entries with a form based on numerical scoring and written evaluation. At the final Jury decision meeting on March 25th the Jury first had a general discussion on the top ten entries and finally, after the final assessment, made the decision on the four finalists.

The competition Jury report will be published in connection with the announcement of the outcome to Stage II of the competition. The Jury report will contain individual assessments for each proposal received.



PROPOSALS SELECTED FOR STAGE II OF THE COMPETITION

The following four entries with their special merits selected for Stage II negotiated procedure were (in random listing):

Pseudonym "Maitohorsma":

A conceptually strong proposal with strong emphasis on virtual workspace concept.

Pseudonym "Equilibrium":

Easily sellable work environment ideas from governmental agencies' point of view with good understanding of energy optimization processes.

Pseudonym "enGAGE":

A proposal with idea of pointing out the importance and possibility to encourage people to adapt eco-efficient ways of working with use of IT-solutions. Well- presented work environment zoning.

Pseudonym "Let's talk about habitat":

A proposal with strong focus on work habitat (basis of entry's theoretical framework) with in-depth analyses on technical issues.

The authors of the four entries selected for Stage II will be contacted and given further instructions on the negotiated procedure.

The Jury also decided to give Honorary Mentions to the following entries:

Pseudonym "Space as a service"

Pseudonym "Chameleon"

Pseudonym "01031"



GENERAL ASSESSMENT ON THE FOUR FINALISTS

The four finalists representing different types of solutions were selected, with the hope that Stage II would produce interesting alternatives. The selection of proposals for Stage II of the competition was made based on their merits on workplace environment solutions and adaptability. The Jury valued the progressiveness of ideas, general applicability as well as attractiveness of the presented overall concept. It was also established that these proposals could be further developed so that the required Stage II technical and ecological objectives can be achieved. The merits of the overall solution were considered more important than flawless details.

THE JURY

The Jury of the Nordic Built Challenge Finland for Stages I and II are:

- Chairman: Juha Lemström, architect SAFA, Senate Properties
- Member: Bengt Wånggren, CEO, Sweden Green Building Council
- Member: Kauko Wasenius, M .Sc. (Eng .), CEO, Consti Yhtiöt (appointed by Finnish Association of Civil Engineers RIL)
- Member: Suvi Nenonen, Research Manager, Dr., Built Environment Services Group, Aalto University
- Member: Kristiina Koskiahho, architect SAFA, Senate Properties
- Member: Toni Rantanen, architect SAFA, Senate Properties
- Member: Hans Fridberg, project manager Nordic Built, Nordic Innovation
- Member: Henrik L. Bang, director, PhD, Danish Association of Construction Clients

The Secretariat of the Jury: Päivi Etelämäki and Leena Suomela, architects SAFA, Pöyry

Helsinki April 4th, 2013

The Jury of the Nordic Built Challenge Finland